

Rs. 100/-

4000012

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR

W. P. NO. 2399 /2005

PETITIONER

: Harish Chandra Upadhyay S/o Shri
Roop Narayan Upadhyay, aged
about 60 years, R/o Gandhi Nagar,
Ambikapur, Dist : Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. 2213
Presented by Shri Bhupendra Singh
dated 13/5/05


VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary, Department of
Home, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur
(C.G.).
2. Director General of police,
Head of Police Department, Raipur
(C.G.).
3. Superintendent of Police, Surguja at
Ambikapur (C.G.).
4. Joint Director, Funds, Accounts &
Pension, Bilaspur (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY
13-5-05
CLERK D.K.S. BHAWAN, RAIPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 2399..... सन् 2005

39

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	11.07.2005 Mr. Bhupendra Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Vivek Sharma, learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working as Constable when he retired on superannuation on 30.09.2003. The petitioner challenges his date of birth in this petition. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that for the identical relief, the petitioner had filed earlier a writ petition i.e. W.P.No.1196 of 2004. This Court vide order dated 27.04.2004 (Annexure P-6) while disposing of the said writ petition, observed that the competent authority will decide the representation of the petitioner on having been filed by the petitioner as early as possible, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation. According to the petitioner, the representation was made to the authorities on 11.05.2004. The authorities have decided the issue of payment of retiral benefits but the authorities have not decided the issue of date of birth. Now learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a direction may be issued to the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner on the issue of date of birth expeditiously. In view of the above, the respondents are directed to decide the issue of date of birth of the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order. Even after decision, if any grievance survives, the petitioner is at liberty to seek remedy under the law. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of.	

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Sarma

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000

14-7-05